

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/922,507	08/03/2001	Kwang-Bo Cho	08305-101001	8845
7:	590 06/17/2005	EXAMINER		
	ology c/o Tom D'Amico	NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG		
Dickstein, Shapiro, Moran & Oshinsky 2101 L Street NW Washington, DC 20037-1526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 2 11 11 1				
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/922,5	09/922,507 CHO, KWANG-BO		0			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			Γ. NGUYEN	2612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THIS COMMUNION INSIDE OF THIS FROM THE MAILING BY SECOND OF THE OF T	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exunication.)) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>24 January 200</u>	<u>05</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b)□ This action is i	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object		•					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	· ·	• , ,	=	• •			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been for the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re page 9, Applicant argues that Fossum et al. does not teach or suggest pixel elements "of substantially equal pixel area" with photoreceptors, the device geometries of which are "indicative of an amount of light photons received".

In response, the Applicant amended claim 1 with limitation "at least two color pixel elements of substantially equal pixel area, each color pixel element including a photoreceptor having a device geometry, responsive to receiving light, to generate an output signal indicative of an amount of light photons received." The Examiner considers that claim 1 as amended still does not distinguish from Fossum et al. Fossum et al. discloses three color pixel elements Red, Blue, Green are the same size as shown in Figure 1A, Column 2, Lines 31-37.

In re page 10, Applicant argues that Claim 13 recites a CMOS color pixel assembly including "a plurality of macro pixels." Each macro pixel includes "at least three color pixel elements of substantially equal pixel area, each color pixel element including a photoreceptor having a device geometry, responsive to receiving light, to generate an output signal indicative of an amount of light photons received." Fossum et al. '100 discloses pixels of various sizes, based on light response. Photodiode geometry is not altered in Fossum et al. '100.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

In response, regarding claim 13, the Examiner considers that Fossum et al. does discloses the feature of "at least three color pixel elements of substantially equal pixel area." Fossum et al. discloses three color pixel elements Red, Blue, Green are the same size as shown in Figure 1A, Column 2, Lines 31-37. It is noted that the feature "photodiode geometry is altered" is not recited in claim 13.

In re pages 10-12, Applicant argues that Fossum et al. and Perregaux et al. do not teach or suggest switches for varying device geometry.

In response, regarding claim 21, Applicant amended claim 21 with limitation "at least three color pixel elements having equal pixel areas, each color pixel element including a photoreceptor having a device geometry and at least one switch configured and arranged to selectively change the device geometry." The Examiner considers that this feature is taught by Perregaux et al. Perregaux et al. discloses a color array, in which photodiode shape (device geometry) can be altered to change the spatial sensitivity of the individual photodiodes if required (Column 5, Lines 25-32, Lines 60-62). This clearly indicates that each of photodiode includes a switch to alter photodiode shape.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Art Unit: 2612

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 4-7, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100).

Regarding claims 13,12, 5, Fossum et al. discloses a CMOS color pixel assembly (CMOS image sensor, Column 2, Lines 5-12), comprising a plurality of macro pixels (macro pixel, Column 2, Lines 5-30), each macro pixel of the plurality of macro pixels, comprising at least three color pixel elements of substantially equal pixel area (Red, Blue, Green, Figures 1A, 1B, Column 2, Lines 31-59), each color pixel element including a photoreceptor (photodiode, column 3, Lines 22-51) having a device geometry (photodiode size), responsive to receiving light, to generate an output signal indicative of an amount of light photons received (Column 3, Lines 22-61); a first one of the color pixel elements, configured and arranged to receive a first color of light (red color, Figure 1B), the photoreceptor of the first one of the color pixel elements having a first geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the first geometry of the photoreceptor (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51); a second one of the color pixel elements configured and arranged to receive a second color of light (blue color, Figure 1B) different than the first color of light, the photoreceptor of the second one of the color pixel elements having a second geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the second geometry (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51); and a third one of the color pixel elements, configured and arranged to receive a third color of light (green color, Figure 1B) different than the first color of light and the second color of light, the photoreceptor of the third one of the color pixel elements having a third geometry and a responsivity to light

that is a function of the third geometry of the photoreceptor (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51).

Regarding claims 6, 14, Fossum et al. discloses the first geometry, the second geometry, and the third geometry are selected such that the responsivity of the output signal of the first one of the color pixel elements to the first color of light, and the responsivity of the output signal of the second one of the color pixel elements to the second color of light, and the responsivity of the output signal of the third one of the color pixel elements to the third color of light is a predetermined ratio (ratio 2.5 Vb:1.5 Vr:1.0Vg (column 1, Lines 52-57).

As for claim 1, all the limitations are contained in claims 13-14, therefore, see Examiner's comments regarding claims 13-14.

Regarding claim 4, Fossum et al. discloses the predetermined ratio is about 1:1 (Figure 1A).

Regarding claims 7, 15, Fossum et al. discloses the predetermined ratio is about 1:1:1 (Figure 1A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/922,507 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-3, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100) in view of McDaniel et al. (US 6,040,592).

Regarding claims 2, 16, Fossum et al. fails to specifically disclose the photoreceptor of each color pixel element is selected from the group consisting of n-wells, n+ diffusion, p-wells, p+ diffusion, and photogates. However, McDaniel et al. teaches that a photodiode is created between ground, a common node having electrical contact with the substrate, and the diffusion 209, the diffusion 209 is doped as an N+ diffusion region (Column 3, Lines 29-35). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of McDaniel et al. in order to make ohmic contact to the well (column 3, Lines 33-35).

Regarding claim 3, Fossum et al. fails to specifically disclose the photoreceptor of each color pixel element is an n+ diffusion. However, McDaniel et al. teaches that a photodiode is created between ground, a common node having electrical contact with the substrate, and the diffusion 209, the diffusion 209 is doped as an N+ diffusion region (Column 3, Lines 29-35). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of McDaniel et al. in order to make ohmic contact to the well (column 3, Lines 33-35).

Art Unit: 2612

6. Claims 8-9, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100) in view of Fossum et al. (US 5,949,483).

Regarding claims 8, 17, Fossum et al. ('100) fails to specifically disclose a microlens photonically coupled to at least one of the color pixel elements. However, Fossum et al. ('483) discloses an active pixel sensor array, in which each pixel corresponding to red filter 600, blue filter 604, green filter 610 is covered by microlenses 115A, 115B, 115C (Figure 5A, Column 7, Line 58 – Column 8, Line 13). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. ('100) by the teaching of Fossum et al. ('483) in order to focus incoming light onto pixel.

Regarding claims 9, 18, Fossum et al. ('100) fails to specifically disclose corresponding microlens photonically coupled to each of the color pixel elements. However, Fossum et al. ('483) discloses an active pixel sensor array, in which each pixel corresponding to red filter 600, blue filter 604, green filter 610 is covered by microlenses 115A, 115B, 115C (Figure 5A, Column 7, Line 58 – Column 8, Line 13). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. ('100) by the teaching of Fossum et al. ('483) in order to focus incoming light onto pixel.

7. Claims 10-11, 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100) in view of Perregaux et al. (US 5,119,181).

Regarding claims 10-11, 19-20, Fossum et al. fails to specifically disclose at least one of the color pixel elements further comprises at least one switch coupled to the photoreceptor to

Art Unit: 2612

vary the device geometry. However, Perregeaux et al. discloses a color array, in which photodiode shape can be altered to change the spatial sensitivity of the individual photodiodes if required (Column 5, Lines 25-32, Lines 60-62). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of Perregaux et al. in order to change the spatial sensitivity of the individual photodiodes if required (Column 5, Lines 60-62).

Regarding claim 21, Fossum et al. discloses a color pixel assembly (CMOS image sensor, Column 2, Lines 5-12) including at least one macro pixel (macro pixel, Column 2, Lines 5-30), the macro pixel comprising at least three color pixel elements having equal pixel areas, (Red, Blue, Green, Figures 1A, 1B, Column 2, Lines 31-59), each color pixel element including a photoreceptor (photodiode, column 3, Lines 22-51) having a device geometry (photodiode size), responsive to receiving light, to generate an output signal indicative of an amount of light photons received (Column 3, Lines 22-61); a first one of the color pixel elements, configured and arranged to receive a first color of light (red color, Figure 1B), the photoreceptor of the first of the color pixel elements having a first geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the first geometry of the photoreceptor, the responsivity of the output signal of the photoreceptor to the first color being controllable by changing the first geometry (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51); a second of the color pixel elements configured and arranged to receive a second color of light (blue color, Figure 1B) different than the first color of light, the photoreceptor of the second one of the color pixel elements having a second geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the second

Art Unit: 2612

geometry, the responsivity of the output signal of the photoreceptor to the second color being controllable by changing the second geometry (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51); and a third one of the color pixel elements, configured and arranged to receive a third color of light (green color, Figure 1B) different than the first color of light and the second color of light, the photoreceptor of the third one of the color pixel elements having a third geometry and a responsivity to light that is a function of the third geometry of the photoreceptor, the responsivity of the output signal of the photoreceptor to the third color being controllable by changing the third geometry (the collection efficiently is proportional to the size of the collection area, Column 3, Lines 22-51).

Fossum et al. fails to specifically disclose each color pixel element including a photoreceptor having a device geometry and at least one switch configured to selectively change the device geometry. However, Perregeaux et al. discloses a color array, in which photodiode shape can be altered to change the spatial sensitivity of the individual photodiodes if required (Column 5, Lines 25-32, Lines 60-62). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of Perregaux et al. in order to change the spatial sensitivity of the individual photodiodes if required (Column 5, Lines 60-62).

Regarding claim 22, Fossum et al. discloses the first geometry, the second geometry, and the third geometry are controlled such that the responsivity of the output signal of the first one of the color pixel elements to the first color of light, and the responsivity of the output signal of the second one of the color pixel elements to the second color of light, and the responsivity of the

Art Unit: 2612

output signal of the third one of the color pixel elements to the third color of light is a predetermined ratio (ratio 2.5 Vb:1.5 Vr:1.0Vg (Column 1, Lines 52-57).

Regarding claim 23, Fossum et al. discloses the predetermined ratio is about 1:1:1 (Figure 1A).

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (US 6,137,100) in view of Perregaux et al. (US 5,119,181) further in view of McDaniel et al. (US 6,040,592).

Regarding claim 24, Fossum et al. and Perrgaux et al. fail to specifically disclose the photoreceptor of each color pixel element is selected from the group consisting of n-wells, n+diffusion, p-wells, p+ diffusion, and photogates. However, McDaniel et al. teaches that a photodiode is created between ground, a common node having electrical contact with the substrate, and the diffusion 209, the diffusion 209 is doped as an N+ diffusion region (Column 3, Lines 29-35). Therefore, it would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Fossum et al. by the teaching of McDaniel et al. in order to make ohmic contact to the well (column 3, Lines 33-35).

Art Unit: 2612

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUONG T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 7315. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (571) 272 - 7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/922,507

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN LN 06/08/05

AUNG MOE PRIMARY EXAMINER